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#### Abstract

An analytic continuation procedure using Taylor series is utilised to produce very accurate wavefunctions and eigenvalues for the Schrödinger equation.


## 1. Introduction

The recent work by Killingbeck (1987) has demonstrated that there is still considerable interest in obtaining accurate solutions to simple one-dimensional problems which can arise in quantum mechanics. The above reference focuses on a standard two-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D^{2} \psi+x^{2} \psi=E \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E=-1, \psi(0)=1$ and $\psi(5)=0$ which has been studied by several authors (e.g. Holt 1964, Osborne 1969, Roberts and Shipman 1971, Gupta and Agarwal 1985). It is observed that standard shooting methods are unstable, and that beyond $x \sim 3.5$ they do not produce the correct values. Killingbeck has demonstrated that, by viewing the equation from the quantum mechanics viewpoint, new insights can be achieved and more accurate shooting methods can be derived.

In another work Killingbeck (1986) has employed perturbation theory to generate a family of high-order shooting methods in order to obtain eigenvalues for the Schrödinger equation. These procedures lead to results with a higher accuracy than the standard Numerov method can achieve.

In this work we outline an alternative approach which has been proposed by others (Holubec and Stauffer 1985) and which leads to very precise results. The technique is applied to the above problems in order to demonstrate its accuracy, stability and speed. We have found it very easy to implement as well.

## 2. Analytic continuation

The standard Taylor series approach to the solution of a differential equation (DE) with initial values given at $z_{0}$ is to approximate the solution in the neighbourhood of $z_{0}$ by a truncated Taylor series. The values of the derivatives at $z_{0}$ are determined from successive differentiations of the DE. One then proceeds to construct a new Taylor series about $z_{1}=z_{0}+h$ using the derivatives of the first series. This process continues to generate an analytic continuation of the solution of the DE along the path $\left\{z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. This technique requires successive differentiation of the DE, and becomes restricted if the DE has singularities anywhere in the complex $z$ plane.

Holubec and Stauffer (1985) have proposed a way around this problem based on the idea of analytically continuing a Frobenius series rather than a Taylor series. The method is applied to second-order linear DE with a regular singularity and with analytic coefficients which are finite polynomials. In practice the method works for arbitrary order and for more general analytic coefficients.

We start by writing the test problem in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+Q(z) \boldsymbol{u}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q(z)=E-z^{2}$. The solution $u$ is expanded in a Taylor series about the point $z_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{i=0}^{N_{1}} c_{i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case $Q(z)$ is expanded in a similar fashion

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{q} \tilde{Q}_{i}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for the test problem we are looking at reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=E-z_{0}^{2}-2 z_{0}\left(z-z_{0}\right)-\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting these expansions for $u$ and $Q$ into the $D E$, and setting the coefficients of the different powers of $\left(z-z_{0}\right)$ to zero, we arrive at a set of recurrence relations to generate the coefficients $c_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i+2}=-\left[\left(E-z_{0}^{2}\right) c_{1}-2 z_{0} c_{i-1}-c_{i-2}\right] /(i+2)(i+1) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial values $c_{0}=u\left(z_{0}\right), c_{1}=u^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ are used to start the series.
If we start from the origin, we can use the above results with $z_{0}=0$. In the general case a Frobenius series is used, with the appropriate characteristic exponent. For the test problem under evaluation here, the characteristic exponent is 0 , and so the regular Taylor series about $z_{0}=0$ suffices.

## 3. Results

We have first of all examined the test problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D^{2} \psi+x^{2} \psi=E \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E=-1, \psi(0)=1$ and $\psi(5)=0$ in order to compare our results with those obtained by Killingbeck (1987). In employing the shooting methods or the above analytic continuation procedure, we start by choosing an initial slope $\psi^{\prime}(0)=G$, and then compute the solution out to the endpoint. The value of $G$ is varied until the condition $\psi(5)=0$ is satisfied as well as possible within the precision of the calculations.

In applying the method of analytic continuation we subdivide the interval into $N_{\text {s }}$ subintervals bounded by $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N_{1}}$. The expansion about $z_{0}$ is used first to find the function and its first derivative at $z_{1}$, and the process is repeated out to the endpoint. The other variable is the number of terms $N_{t}$ retained in the polynomial expansion (3).

We have carried out the computations using 32 -digit precision, and have found that it is very easy to retain this level of accuracy in the wavefunction. We obtained
the best $G$ value by using the secant method, starting with two $G$ values which generate values of $\psi(5)$ having different signs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i+1}=G^{i}-\psi^{G^{\prime}}(5) \frac{\left(G^{i}-G^{i-1}\right)}{\psi^{G^{\prime}}(5)-\psi^{G^{\prime-1}}(5)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only three or four iterations are required to obtain the best $G$. We compare our results with those of Killingbeck (1987) in table 1. These were obtained with $N_{s}=N_{t}=40$.

Table 2 illustrates how the value of $G$ affects the value of $\psi(5)$ for a region close to the best fit. We also show in table 3 that the results are almost insensitive to the value of $N_{\mathrm{s}}$. Small values of $N_{\mathrm{s}}$ work quite well. Note that far fewer terms are required in our expansion than were used by Killingbeck (1987).

A comparison of the values of $\psi(x)$ for sample $x$ values in table 4 demonstrates that the power series employed by Killingbeck (1987) gives reasonably good results whereas the simple forward-shooting method is quite poor.

We have also used this procedure to compute the eigenvalues for the Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D^{2} \psi+V \psi=E \psi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1. Values of $\psi^{\prime}(0)=G$.

| Method | $G$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power series | -1.128379 |
| Simple method $\left(N_{0}=200\right)$ | -1.128379 (extrapolated) |
| Numerov $\left(N_{0}=100\right)$ | -1.128378 |
| Analytic continuation | -1.1283791670972474114984411143125 |

Table 2. Variation of $\psi(5)$ with $G$. Here $G=G_{0}+\Delta G$ with $G_{0}=$ 1.1283791670972474114984411143125.

| $\Delta G$ | $\psi(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $-2.0 \times 10^{-31}$ | $5.6944 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| $-1.0 \times 10^{-31}$ | $3.37177 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 0.0 | $9.68009 \times 10^{-27}$ |
| $1.0 \times 10^{-31}$ | $-1.42579 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| $2.0 \times 10^{-31}$ | $-3.82327 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| $3.0 \times 10^{-31}$ | $-6.152682 \times 10^{-26}$ |

Table 3. Values of $\psi(5)$ for $G=-1.1285$. Here $\psi(5)=\psi_{0}+\Delta \psi$ with $\psi_{0}=$ $-28.73499812166941256555731$.

| $N_{s}$ | $\Delta \psi\left(N_{\mathrm{t}}=35\right)$ | $\Delta \psi\left(N_{\mathrm{t}}=40\right)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $1.19921 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.70046 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| 10 | $2.371229 \times 10^{-22}$ | $-8.8532 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 15 | $-9.1751 \times 10^{-26}$ | $-9.2732 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 20 | $-9.2696 \times 10^{-26}$ | $-9.2696 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 30 | $-9.2714 \times 10^{-26}$ | $-9.2714 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 40 | $-9.2429 \times 10^{-26}$ | $-9.2429 \times 10^{-26}$ |
| 50 | $-9.2246 \times 10^{-26}$ | $-9.2246 \times 10^{-26}$ |

Table 4. Values of $\psi(x)$ for $G=-1.1284$.

| $x$ | Simple forward | Power series | Analytic continuation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0.259422 | 0.259317 | 0.25931689687208286122758902577726 |
| 2 | 0.034977 | 0.034428 | 0.03442826255099501617231697248597 |
| 3 | 0.007011 | 0.000327 | 0.00032660231225652539686543196608 |
| 4 | 0.166086 | -0.054989 | -0.05499051702104752231640686784741 |
| 4.5 | 1.388501 | -0.460808 | -0.46080986474136606990897720554397 |
| 5 | 14.9027 | -4.95408 | -4.9542252799329370344057610363929 |

for the special case $V=x^{4}$, in order to compare with the results of Killingbeck (1986). In this case the recurrence relation (6) must be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i+2}=-\left[\left(E-z_{0}^{4}\right) c_{i}-4 z_{0}^{3} c_{i-1}-6 z_{0}^{2} c_{i-2}-4 z_{0} c_{i-3}-c_{i-4}\right] /(i+2)(i+1) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 5 lists our results in comparison with those of Killingbeck (1986) for the different boundary conditions in the ground state. Here we have used $D \psi(0)=0$ for the even-parity ground state.

Note that the eigenvalue is a function of the boundary condition. In particular, the result obtained with the boundary condition $\psi(5)=0$ is in agreement with the result over the full semiaxis as computed in the work of Richardson and Blankenbecler (1979). Increasing the $x$ axis boundary to values larger than 5 has no effect on the result given in table 5 .

Table 6 lists the eigenvalues for specific even-parity states with $\psi(8)=0$. In all cases it is very straightforward to obtain very high accuracy in the results. The initial conditions at $x=0$ are chosen to produce either even or odd wavefunctions. Wavefunctions with even parity are generated by choosing $\psi(0)=c$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)=0$ with $c$ an arbitrary normalisation constant. Two values of $E$ are then found which lie on either side of the eigenvalue being sought, such that they produce values for $\psi$ at the endpoint having opposite signs. The secant method is then employed to generate a new $E$ value, and the process iterated until convergence is obtained.

Table 5. $E$ values for the ground state with $V=x^{4}$.

| Boundary <br> condition | $N=200^{a}$ | Analytic continuation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $D \psi(1)=0$ | 0.19454603 | 0.19553834434682354985045116934456 |
| $\psi(1)=0$ | 2.5081970 | 2.5081969639662134874454094110487 |
| $D \psi(3)=0$ | 1.0603620 | 1.0603620370845438475374618864452 |
| $\psi(3)=0$ | 1.0603621 | 1.0603621399729677368101368642776 |
| $\psi(5)=0$ |  | 1.0603620904841828996470460166927 |

[^0]Table 6. $E$ values for the 6 th, 10 th and 16 th even-parity states for $V=x^{4}$ and $\psi(8)=0$.

| Eigenstate | $N=200^{a}$ | Analytic continuation |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 6th | 50.256254 | 50.256254516682919039744588105263 |
| 10th | 106.92331 | 106.92330738173252565307510213617 |
| 16th | 208.23234 | 208.23233900514394850272347714122 |

[^1]
## 4. Conclusions

The analytic continuation procedure of Holubec and Stauffer (1985) offers a straightforward solution to the problem of obtaining high-accuracy wavefunctions and eigenvalues for Schrödinger's equation. Although the procedure is based on functions $Q(z)$ which are finite polynomials, in practice it works very well in any case where the function (or the potential) can be expanded in a Taylor series, and analytic coefficients obtained. This was demonstrated in their paper by computing the phase shifts for the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=-(2+2 / r) \exp (-2 r) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only real limit to the precision is the precision of the computer employed to carry out the calculations. The disadvantage of this technique lies in the requirement to construct the Taylor series (4) and the corresponding recurrence relations (6) for each specific potential under study. However, if high precision is required in the result, this is not an exorbitant cost.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Perturbation approach of Killingbeck (1986).

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Perturbation with correction term (Killingbeck 1986).

